

Introduction

For microbial sequencing on the PacBio Sequel System, the current yield per SMRT Cell is in excess relative to project requirements. Multiplexing offers a viable solution; greatly increasing throughput, efficiency, and reducing costs per genome. This approach is achieved by incorporating a unique barcode for each microbial sample into the SMRTbell adapters and using a streamlined library preparation process.

To demonstrate performance, 12 unique barcodes assigned to *B. subtilis* and sequenced on a single SMRT Cell. To further demonstrate the applicability of this method, we multiplexed the genomes of 16 strains of *H. pylori*. Each DNA was sheared to 10 kb, end-repaired and ligated with a barcoded adapter in a single-tube reaction. The barcoded samples were pooled in equimolar quantities and a single SMRTbell library was prepared. Successful *de novo* microbial assemblies were achieved from all multiplexes tested (12-, and 16-plex) using data generated from a single SMRTbell library, run on a single SMRT Cell 1M with the PacBio Sequel System, and analyzed with standard SMRT Analysis assembly methods.

Here, we describe a protocol that facilitated the multiplexing up to 12-plex of microbial genomes in one SMRT Cell 1M on the Sequel System that produced near-complete microbial *de novo* assemblies of <10 contigs for genomes <5 Mb in size.



library preparation

Multiplexing Strategies for Microbial Whole Genome Sequencing Using the Sequel System

Christine Lambert, John Harting, and Primo Baybayan PacBio, 1305 O'Brien Drive, Menlo Park, CA 94025



De novo assembly was run in SMRT Link (GUI) using HGAP 4 for each imported subreadset from step 3

http://www.pacb.com/wp-content/uploads/Analysis-Procedure-Multiplexed-Microbial-Assembly-SMRT-Link.pdf

MULTIPLEX	DNA INPUT INTO SHEARING	DNA INPUT INTO EXO VII*
2-plex	1.0 µg each	500 ng each
4-plex	1.0 µg each	250 ng each
6-plex	1.0 µg each	167 ng each
8-plex	1.0 µg each	125 ng each
10-plex	1.0 µg each	100 ng each
12-plex	1.0 µg each	83 ng each
16-plex	1.0 µg each	63 ng each
*Assuming frag	ment distribution for eaction for eaction for eaction of the second second second second second second second s	ch microbe is the sar

TOTAL SUBREADS	PALINDROMIC SUBREADS	% PALINDROMES	# CONTIGS
205,684	23,689	11.5	204
300,300	4,217	1.4	1
145,000	15,866	11.0	101
347,387	7,101	2.0	5



Fig. 6. Assembly contig N50s and count of assembly contigs from a 12-plex pool of 4 Mb B. subtilis (left) and a 16-plex pool of 1.6 Mb H. pylori (right)



Barcode Numbe

Fig. 7. Coverage per barcode of a 12-plex pool of *B. subtilis* and a 16-plex pool of *H. pylori*

- per sample
- Decrease template preparation cost and sample processing time by ~30%
- Reduce sequencing cost by >50%
- Multiple near-complete genome assemblies from microbial samples can be achieved in 1 Sequel SMRT Cell 1M Recommend up to 12 microbes (<5 Mb) or 16 microbes (<2 Mb) per Sequel SMRT Cell 1M as a guideline for designing microbial WGS multiplexing experiments on the Sequel System
- Microbes with large repeat regions may need 20 kb or 30 kb library preparation with size selection; If the goal is complete genome assemblies, recommend sequencing genomes independently

The authors would like to thank: Mutsuko Konno, JA Sapporo Hospital; Hirokazu Yano, University of Tokyo & Tsukuba University; Masaki Fukuyo, Chiba University; Ichizo Kobayashi, University of Tokyo, Kyorin University, & University of Paris – Saclay, for the *H.pylori* samples.





Results

- A single Sequel SMRT Cell 1M multiplexed 16 microbes (<2 Mb) or 12 microbes (<5 Mb) and achieved nearcomplete assemblies (<10 contigs)
- For HGAP assembly, recommend targeting 50-fold coverage for optimal assembly results
- If improved assembly performance is required:
 - Sequence additional SMRT Cells to increase coverage
- Decrease multiplex level to increase per genome coverage
- Sequence samples independently; Increase insert size and use size selection to increase likelihood of spanning repeat regions
- Base modification and motif analysis can be performed with multiplexed microbial libraries
- Kinetic detection distribution similar for single-plex and multiplexed libraries
- Target 100-fold coverage for base modification and motif analysis



Fig. 8. Kinetic detection distribution comparison of multiplexed and single-plex libraries of *H. pylori* strain 76

Conclusion

Multiplexing maximizes throughput and efficiency of microbial whole genome sequencing, while reducing cost

Acknowledgements