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PacBio Data Types

HiFi Reads
High accuracy consensus read of library insert


- Common methods for assessing de novo assembly quality (BUSCO, contig N50) are incomplete measures of accuracy.
- Estimates of assembly base accuracy are limited by the quality of the reference to which it is compared (Fig. 1).
For the human genome, Genome in a Bottle provides a benchmark with estimated accuracy of 99.9999\% (Q60).
- We generated benchmarks of high confidence reference regions for two model species: rice and Drosophila.
- Our method uses PacBio HiFi reads and Illumina data to annotate biological variation in the sample and mask low confidence regions in the reference.
PacBio HiFi assemblies can achieve base pair accuracy of Q50, or $<1$ error per 100 kb .


## Datasets and Methods

| Sample | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Human } \\ & \text { HG002 } \end{aligned}$ | Rice Oryza sativa MH63 | Drosophila <br> D. melanogaster A4xISO1 Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HiFi Reads | 20 -fold 15 kb | 20 -fold 17 kb | 38 -fold 19 kb |
| Long Reads | -fold > 15 k | >30 | 70 -fold >15 kb |
| de novo Assembly Methods: <br> -FALCON (pb-assembly v0.0.4 or later) <br> -Polishing with Racon v1.4.10 (HiFi data) or gcpp v1.0.0 (long reads) <br> -Drosophila data trio binned before assembly with Canu v1.5 |  |  |  |
| Sequence data available in GenBank: <br> HiFi data: PRJNA573706; Long Read Rice: PRJNA558396; Long Read Human: PRJNA558394; Long Read Drosophila: PRJNA558397 |  |  |  |
| Reference Genomes: <br> Human: hs37d5/GRCh37 <br> Rice: Zhang, J., Chen, L., Sun, S. et al. Sci Data 3, 160076 (2016) Drosophila: dmel_r6.28_FB2019_03 |  |  |  |
| Software: <br> pb-assembly and pbsv: https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbbioconda racon: https://github.com/lbcb-sci/racon bwa: https://github.com/lh3/bwa minimap2: https://github.com/lh3/minimap2 mosdepth: https://github.com/brentp/mosdepth freebayes: https://github.com/ekg/freebayes Manta: https://github.com/lllumina/manta bedtools: https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools BUSCO3: https://busco-archive.ezlab.org/v3/ canu: https://github.com/marbl/canu |  |  |  |
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## Summary of Assembly Quality

1. Contig Base Pair

Accuracy

- Measured in 100 kb windows
- Percentage of reference
in benchmark:
- Human: 82\%
- Rice: 61\%
- Drosophila: 52\%


Rice

2. Overall Base Quality

- Concordance to a generic reference measures sample biological divergence.

A sample-specific benchmark measures assembly quality.

| Full Reference | Q24 |  | Q24 | Q31 | Q30 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benchmark | Q49 | Q41 | Q50 | Q47 |  |

## 3. Gene Completeness

- Specifies-specific gene sets distinguish assemblies that look equivalent in BUSCO.

| Species-specific | $N=19,313$ |  | $N=35,666$ |  | $N=13,947$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In Frame | 99.5 \% | 96.4 \% | 98.5 \% | 98.6 \% | 99.5\% | 98.6\% |
| BUSCO Conserved | $N=4,104$ |  | $N=1,440$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=2,799$ |  |
| Complete | 94.9 \% | 94.8\% | 98.7\% | 98.7\% | 98.9 \% | 98.8 \% |
| ontig Stats |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contig N 50 (Mb) | 30.5 | 12.6 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 6.64 |
| Length (Gb) | 2.92 | 2.85 | 0.400 | 0.404 | 0.150 | 0.148 |

## Building a Benchmark of High-Quality Reference Regions

- Concordance between a de novo assembly and a reference genome can approximate assembly quality.
- Discordances can be:

1. Errors in assembly
2. Errors in reference
3. Biological differences

- Defining a benchmark of high quality regions of a reference allow the estimation of assembly errors.

Figure 1. Concordance as a Function of Reference and Assembly Quality


## 1. Mask Low Confidence Regions

Map: bwa (ILM) or minimap2 (HiFi) Depth: mosdepth in 100 bp windows
"Normal" Cov: mode +/- 3*V(mode)



Mask regions with $>10$ clipped reads

2. Call Variants against Reference

SNV: Freebayes with PE ILM +/- 5 bp slop SV: Manta with PE ILM +/- 50 bp slop PBSV with HiFi +/- 50 bp slop
3. Measure Concordance with Reference

Assembly mapped to reference in 100 kb windows (minimap2 -x asm5)
Concordance = matches/(high qual bases) $Q=-10$ * $\log 10(1-$ concordance $)$ $\max (Q)$ in 100 kb window $=50$

